



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY /एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

Date /दिनांक - 13/02/2023

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT/

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील

File No. 89-236/E-274499/2022 Appeal/2nd Meeting, 2023

APPLSRC202214427

Kaliammal College of Education, Pavithram, Karur Covai Road, Aravakkuruchi, Karur, Tamilnadu- 639002 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Shubhashni, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	09.02.2023
Date of Pronouncement	13.02.2023

ORDER/आदेश

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Kaliammal College of Education, Pavithram, Karur Covai Road, Aravakkuruchi, Karur, Tamilnadu- 639002** dated 18.07.2022 filed under Section 17 of NCTE Act, 1993 against the Order No. **F.SRO/NCTE/APSO9383/TN/M.Ed./2020/16874** dated 18.08.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that:-“(i). The institution has submitted Building Plan which is not approved by the competent authority. Total built up area, earmarking is not reflecting in Building Plan. (ii). The BCC submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. (iii). The NEC submitted by the institution is in regional language. (iv). The institution has not submitted Form A/ original FDRs in M.Ed. course. (v). The institution has appointed staff in M.Ed. only 6 Assistant Professor against the requirement of 1+9. In B.Ed. the institution has not appointed faculty for Fine Arts. The faculty namely Shanthakumari P. Saraswathi S. are not qualified. (vi). The institution has not submitted certified copy of land documents. (vii). The institute submitted Sale Deed of SY No. 305/1, & 302/2 whereas the LUC bears 4 others S.F. Nos. (viii). The size of classrooms and Multipurpose Hall are less than the requirement of NCTE Regulations.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Shubhashni, Principal of Kaliammal College of Education, Pavithram, Karur Covai Road, Aravakkuruchi, Karur, Tamilnadu- 639002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 09.02.2023. In the appeal Memoranda it is submitted that: -“(i). We have approved building plan and site plan as per the NCTE guidelines. Copy enclosed. (ii). We have building completion certificate which is approved by competent authority. Copy enclosed. (iii). We have NEC in English version. Copy enclosed. (iv). We have Form-A (Rs. 5+7 lakhs). City Union Bank, Karur. Copy enclosed. (v). We have approved staff list (1+10) for M.Ed. course which is approved by the Registrar, TNTEU, Chennai, TN. Copy enclosed. (vi). We have certificated copy of land documents. Copy enclosed. (vii). The Institute Submitted Sale Deed Of S.F.No.305/1, & 303/2 Whereas The LUC, Bears 4 Others S.F. No. (viii). Now we have sufficient area for

multipurpose hall and classrooms as per NCTE Regulations. Approved building plan copy enclosed.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for M.Ed. Course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 20.10.2010. Thereafter, promulgation of NCTE Regulations, in respect of M.Ed. course affidavits submitted dt. 24.01.2015 by the institution, the provisional revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 22.05.2015 for conducting M.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (one unit) from the academic session 2015-16. The recognition of the institution for M.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 18.08.2020.

The appellant institution moved to the Hon’ble Madras High Court by way of W.PC. No, 26699/2022 titled Kaliasammal College of Education V/s NCTE & Anr and court vide its order dated 30.09.2022 observed: -

“...4. Having regard to the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and taking into account the factual matrix of this case, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition with the following order:

That there shall be a direction to the first respondent to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner dated 18.07.2022 and pass orders thereon on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four [4] weeks from the dated of receipt of a copy of this order...”

The instant matter was placed in 8th Meeting of Appellate Committee held on 07.11.2022. The Appellate Committee vide order dated 16.11.2022 rejected the appeal of the appellant institution. The relevant portion of the said order is being reproduced hereunder: -

“Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for M.Ed. Course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 20.10.2010. Thereafter, promulgation of NCTE Regulations, in respect of M.Ed. course affidavits submitted dt. 24.01.2015 by the institution, the provisional revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 22.05.2015



for conducting M.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (one unit) from the academic session 2015-16.

The appellant institution moved to the Hon'ble Madras High Court by way of W.P.C. No, 26699/2022 titled Kaliammal College of Education V/s NCTE & Anr and court vide its order dated 30.09.2022 observed:-

"...4. Having regard to the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and taking into account the factual matrix of this case, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition with the following order:

That there shall be a direction to the first respondent to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner dated 18.07.2022 and pass orders thereon on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four [4] weeks from the dated of receipt of a copy of this order..."

In compliance of the Court order dated 30.09.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in W.P.C. No, 26699/2022 titled Kaliammal College of Education V/s NCTE & Anr, the instant matter was taken up by the Appeal Committee and the committee noted that the appellant institution with its appeal memoranda and submissions made during online appeal hearing on 7th November, 2022 submitted copies of following documents as claiming to have rectified the shortcomings pointed out in the impugned withdrawal order:

- (i) A copy of Building Plan.
- (ii) A copy of Site Plan.
- (iii) A copy of Building Completion Certificate (BCC)
- (iv) A copy of Encumbrance Certificate (English Version).
- (v) A copy of Bank form 'A'.
- (vi) A copy of Fixed Deposit Receipt.
- (vii) A copy of Latest staff approval (TNTEU).
- (viii) A copy of Sale Deed.
- (ix) A copy of Land Use Certificate (LUC).

However, the Committee noted that the submission of online appeal has been delayed by 1 year 8 months, beyond the prescribed period of sixty days of the withdrawal order was passed by the SRC on 18.08.2020, as such the institution was supposed to file appeal on or before 18.10.2020. However, the institution has submitted present appeal on 18.07.2022 after laps of One year and eight months, as such the contention made by the institution is not sustainable. Further, the institution never submitted an application with respect to condonation of delay.

The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above-mentioned Sections of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfies the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.



In view of the above position, the Committee is not satisfied that the appellant had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee decided not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

After perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee, therefore, concluded not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, and documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order issued by SRC is confirmed."

The appellant institution moved a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras bearing W.P. No. 34914/2022 V/s National Council for Teacher Education & Anr, the Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 24.01.2023 issued following direction upon the Appellate Authority: -

"...5. But however, the respondents must also be conscious that appeal was actually preferred nearly one year after the order was passed in W.P.No. 1144 of 2021 and they had not raised any objection when the direction was given W.P.No. 26699 of 2022, placing an obligation to examine the appeal on merits. Once they have submitted to that particular direction, they are bound to act on that particular direction.

6. The respondent cannot thereafter come back and enter into a discussion about the delay in filling an appeal. Since, the direction in W.P.No. 26699 of 2022 had been issued with the conscious knowledge that the appeal had been filed with delay, I would therefore set aside the impugned order but retain the writ petition on record and direct the respondent to examine the appeal on merits and not focus their attention on the issue of limitation but rather on whether the petitioner is entitled for recognition or not entitled for recognition, and whether there should be withdrawal of recognition or not withdrawal of recognition. These are the aspects to be dealt with in the appeal. Let principles of natural justice be followed and order be passed on or before 22.02.2023.

7. List the matter once again informing about the status of the appeal proceedings on 24.02.2023."

In compliance of the Hon'ble High Court orders, the instant matter again placed in 2nd Appeal Committee (Emergent) Meeting, 2023 of Appellate Committee held on 09.02.2023. The Appeal Committee noted that the Appellant institution with its appeal memoranda and submissions made during online appeal hearing on 9th February 2023



5

submitted copies of following documents as claiming to have rectified the shortcomings pointed out in the impugned withdrawal order:

- (i) A copy of faculty list (One Principal + 2 Professor + 2 Associate Professor + 6 Assistant Professor) approved by the Registrar, TNETU, Chennai, as per provision of NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- (ii) A copy of land documents, approved Building Plan, Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) alongwith a copy of approved Building Completion Certificate (BCC) showing Multipurpose Hall 2680 sq.ft.
- (iii) A copy of Form 'A' alongwith copies of FDRs towards Endowment Fund & Reserve Fund.

The Committee noted that the institution has rectified all the deficiencies as pointed out by the SRC in its withdrawal order dated 18.08.2020, as such the Committee decided to remand back the matter to SRC to decide afresh. The Committee, noted that the document submitted in appeal *vis a vis* the grounds mentioned in the order of withdrawal, require to be verified by the Southern Regional Committee and decision taken accordingly.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner."

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.08.2020 is set-aside and the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.



Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal. The SRC is at a liberty to verify the submitted documents from the concerned issuing authority.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal. The SRC is at a liberty to verify the submitted documents from the concerned issuing authority.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee/ उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।


Deputy Secretary (Appeal) उप सचिव (अपील)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Kalammal College of Education, Pavithram, Karur Covai Road, Aravakkuruchi, Karur, Tamilnadu- 639002**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY /एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

**राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075**

Date /दिनांक - 13/02/2023

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT/

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील

**File No. 89-45/E-240744/2022 Appeal/2nd Meeting, 2023
APPLSRC202214270**

SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Belagavi, CTS No. 10625, 10629 and 10630 Nehru Nagar, Shivabasav Nagar, Belagavi Karnataka-590010 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. RESPONDENT
---	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	Dr. A. L. Patil, Administrative Officer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	09.02.2023
Date of Pronouncement	13.02.2023

ORDER/आदेश

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Belagavi, CTS No. 10625, 10629 and 10630 Nehru Nagar, Shivabasav Nagar, Belagavi Karnataka-590010** dated 27.01.2022 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.SRO/NCTE/APS02019/B.Ed./{KA}/2021/129840** dated 07.01.2022 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution was issued a Final Show Cause Notice on 31.08.2021. The institution filed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. A. L. Patil, Administrative Officer of SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Belagavi, CTS No. 10625, 10629 and 10630 Nehru Nagar, Shivabasav Nagar, Belagavi Karnataka-590010 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 09.02.2023. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that: "(i). Latest staff approval approved by the competent authority as per NCTE norms is enclosed. (ii). BCC is notarized and enclosed. (iii). FDR of Rs. 5+7 lakh is renewed for 05 years. (iv). LUC in Kannada and English version with notarized and enclosed. (v). Website Scree shot is enclosed with notarized. [[http://ssetchandragiribedcollegebgm.in /](http://ssetchandragiribedcollegebgm.in/)] (vi). Affidavit of prescribed format with notary is enclosed. (vii). Latest building plan with approved by assistant executive engineer city corporation north zone-2, BELGAUM is notarized and enclosed."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. Course with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 30.11.2004. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the

2 

institution has submitted affidavit dt. 29.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A revised provisional recognition order was issued to the institution on dt. 27.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 (two basic units) from the academic session 2015-16. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 07.01.2022.

The instant matter was placed in 4th Meeting of Appellate Committee held on 26.04.2022. The Appellate Committee vide order dated 26.05.2022 rejected the appeal of the appellant institution. The relevant portion of the said order is being reproduced hereunder: -

“Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution vide order dated 30.11.2004 was granted recognition with an annual intake of 10 seats and after promulgation of NCTE Regulation, 2014 giving thereby its willingness in Affidavit for adherence of its provisions, a revised provisional recognition order for 100 students (two units) with certain conditions was issued on 27.05.2015 for academic session 2015-16.

The Appeal Committee further noted that the appellant institution was given reasonable opportunities in the shape of Show Cause Notices issued on 08.11.2019 and 31.08.2021, respectively to submit its written representation for rectifying the deficiencies so existed.

The Appeal Committee further noted that the recognition of the appellant was withdrawn on the ground that the appellant has not submitted reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 31.08.2021 which was issued for non-compliance of fulfilling the requirements of NCTE Regulation, 2014 as communicated through the Provisional Revised Recognition order. The appellant in the submitted memoranda of Appeal and during hearing has neither contested this point of withdrawal and nor submitted any proof as to whether the reply to FSCN was submitted or not.

Noting the submission and oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Appeal Committee observes that the appellant institution has failed to submit reply to Final Show Cause Notice dated 31.08.2021. Hence, the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore, the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of withdrawal issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the



Council concluded that that the appellant institution has failed to submit reply to Final Show Cause Notice dated 31.08.2021. Hence, the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore, the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of withdrawal issued by SRC is confirmed."

The appellant institution moved a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi bearing W.P. No. 10567/2022 titled SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women B.Ed. V/s National Council for Teacher Education & Anr, the Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 13.07.2022 issued following direction upon the Appellate Authority: -

"...9. This Court has, in the past, allowed additional material(s)/document(s) to be produced before the respective regional committee/Appellate Authority, but have subjected the same to costs.⁴

10. Mr. Govind Manoharan, counsel for Respondent, does not dispute the position of law as it stands today, in light of the afore-noted judgements.

11. As the said documents were also placed on record before the Appellate Committee but were not taken into consideration, in the interest of justice and in light of the position taken by co-ordinate benches of this Court, the order dated 26th May, 2022 passed by the Appellate Authority is set-aside, and the matter is remanded back to the Appellate Authority, which shall now decide the same, taking into consideration subsequent developments, and in particular, the documents which were enclosed with the appeal report by Petitioner-institute, within a period of two weeks from the date of uploading of the this order in accordance with law."

The institution has not submitted documents as per directions given by the Hon'ble High Court, as such the direction could not be taken up by the Appeal Committee.

Further Aggrieved by no action due to the same, the Appellant Institution again moved to the Delhi High Court in CONT.CAS(C) 117/2023 titled SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women V/s MS Kesang Yangzom Sherpa and Ors. wherein the Hon'ble Court observed vide order dated 01.02.2023:

"1. Learned counsel for the Respondent, who appears on advance notice, states that the Petitioner has not supplied the documents to the Appellate Committee as was directed vide order dated 13.07.2022 and has instead submitted the documents to the Regional Committee. Learned counsel for the Respondent dispute the said submission and states documents were filed before the Appellate Committee as well.

2. Without going into the said controversy, the Petitioner is directed to re-serve a copy of the requisite documents to the learned counsel for the Respondent, who shall place the



same before the Appellate Committee. This Court has been informed that the Appellate Committee is likely to schedule its next meeting in 2nd week of February....

3.

4. Learned counsel for the Respondent assures the Court that the appeal of the Petitioner shall be decided by the Appellate Committee at the said meeting in accordance with law....”

In compliance of the Hon'ble High Court orders dated 13.7.2022 and 01.02.2023, the instant matter placed in 2nd Appeal Committee (Emergent) Meeting, 2023 of Appellate Committee held on 09.02.2023. The Appeal Committee noted that the Appellant institution with its appeal memoranda and submissions made during online appeal hearing on 9th February 2023 submitted copies of following documents as claiming to have rectified the shortcomings pointed out in the impugned withdrawal order:

- (i) A copy of faculty list (1+16) members dated 18.01.2022 approved by the Registrar of Affiliating Body as per provision of NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- (ii) A copy of approved Building Plan, record of Rights and Property Extract (R.T.C.), Land Use Certificate (LUC), Building Completion Certificate
- (iii) A copy of Form 'A' alongwith copies of FDRs towards Endowment Fund & Reserve Fund.

The Committee noted that the institution has rectified all the deficiencies as pointed out by the SRC in its withdrawal order dated 07.01.2022, as such the Committee decided to remand back the matter to SRC to decide afresh. The Committee, noted that the document submitted in appeal *vis a vis* the grounds mentioned in the order of withdrawal, require to be verified by the Southern Regional Committee and decision taken accordingly.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not



compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned withdrawal order dated 07.01.2022 is set-aside and the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal. The SRC is at a liberty to verify the submitted documents from the concerned issuing authority.



IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal. The SRC is at a liberty to verify the submitted documents from the concerned issuing authority.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee/ उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।



Deputy Secretary (Appeal)/उप सचिव (अपील)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, SSETS Chandragiri College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Belagavi, CTS No. 10625, 10629 and 10630 Nehru Nagar, Shivabasav Nagar, Belagavi Karnataka-590010
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka.